EDUCATION & SKILLS

Global trends in higher education Annual HEPI lecture

Andreas Schleicher OECD Director for Education and Skills





The state of higher education

- Better skills, better jobs, better lives
- Some challenges
- Mobilising resources

Future trends

- Emerging skill demands
- Learner ownership
- Making lifelong learning a reality for all

Some conclusions

EDUCATION & SKILLS

The state of higher education

Better skills, better jobs, better lives



The rise in tertiary attainment continues...

Figure A1.1

Trends in the share of tertiary-educated 25-34 year-olds (2000 and 2021)



Note: Countries sorted in descending order of growth

...even if there are large differences within and across countries

Figure A1.4

Percentage of 25-64 year-olds with tertiary attainment, by subnational region (2021)



Higher educational attainment protects from unemployment - especially during economic crises Figure A3.3. Trends in unemployment rates, by educational attainment (2000 to 2021) ---- Below upper secondary ---- Upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary ----Tertiary

Employment rates of tertiary-educated individuals vary by field of study Figure A3.1.

Employment rates of tertiary-educated adults, by field of study (2021)



The wage premium from tertiary education remains high

Figure A4.2.



Many tertiary-educated workers earn more than twice the median wage Figure A4.6.

Percentage of tertiary-educated adults earning more than twice the median, by level of tertiary attainment (2020)



The wage gap between attainment levels is correlated with public support for redistribution

Figure A4.5.

Relative earnings of tertiary-educated workers and

share of adults without tertiary-level education supporting more redistribution to reduce income inequality (2020)



* Below tertiary = 100

STEM fields attract the largest share of doctoral students

Figure B4.6.

Distribution of new entrants to doctoral programmes, by field of study (2020)



International mobility increases with the level of tertiary education

Figure B6.2.

Incoming student mobility in tertiary education, by level of study (2020)

EDUCATION & SKILLS

The state of higher education

Some challenges

Demographic change is a disruptive force

Aging reduces the flow of young people into tertiary education

Change in the population aged 20-24 in the next two decades

Projected population aged 20-24 in 2033 and 2044 compared to 2023 (=100)

- OECD population aged 20-24 will decline by 3% by 2043 compared with 2023
- Decline of over 15% in
 - Lithuania
 - Greece
 - Italy
 - Romania
 - Portugal
 - Croatia
 - Japan
 - Korea

Annual population change rate by parish in mainland Portugal 2011-21

Tertiary education will have to adapt

Many systems must adapt to cater to more diverse populations

- The population of Portugal shrank at an average rate of 0.17% annually between 2011 and 2021
- The population aged 20-29 will shrink by 13.5% between 2020 and 2035 – particularly in the north and interior of the country
- To maintain the supply of skills, tertiary education must widen access further and cater more effectively to adults seeking to upskill and reskill

Comparatively few adults engage in HE

Participation rates in formal tertiary education among adults are low across the OECD

Proportion of 30-39-year-olds enrolled in different levels of education

(%, 2019 or most recent)

- Even in countries like Australia, a comparatively small share of adults engage in formal education of any form at tertiary level
- Skills demands and population ageing mean this has to change

But: Low-skilled much less likely to participate in on-the-job training

Share of workers who participated in on-the-job training in the previous year by education level (%)

The proportion of part-time students has declined

Despite the flexibility offered, part-time study in the OECD is now less popular

Share of tertiary students studying part time (2013 and 2020)

- Some OECD systems already offer flexible, modularised tertiary-level learning opportunities at scale – e.g. New Zealand, Sweden, US and Australia
- Many systems are more rigid
- On average, the share of part-time students in the OECD declined from 24% to 21% between 2013 and 2020
- Going in the wrong direction?

Nearly one-third of bachelor's students have not graduated within three years of the end of the programme duration

Figure B5.2.

Tertiary completion rates are especially low for men

Completion rates of full-time students who entered a bachelor's (or equivalent level) programme, by gender and timeframe (2020)

Women by the theoretical duration
Women by the theoretical duration plus 3 years
Men by the theoretical duration plus 3 years

Large gender gaps by field of study persist among new entrants

Figure B4.1.

Share of women among new entrants to tertiary education, by selected fields of study and level of education (2020)

Women are a minority among tertiary staff

Figure D8.3.

Share of women among academic staff (2005, 2015 and 2020)

A 2005

2020

EDUCATION & SKILLS

The state of higher education

Investing in the future

Total expenditure per full-time equivalent student by level of education (2019)

Figure C1.1.

Differences in R&D spending are an important reason for crosscountry differences in the costs of tertiary education

Figure C1.3.

Total expenditure per full-time equivalent student on tertiary educational institutions for R&D and core educational services (2019)

At below-tertiary levels of education public spending dominates in all OECD countries...

Figure C3.3.

...but at tertiary level private spending is more important

Distribution of public and private expenditure on tertiary educational institutions (2019)

Tuition fees tend to be higher at master's level than at bachelor's level

Annual average tuition fees charged by public institutions to national students, by level of education (2019/20)

Many countries with high tuition fees also provide high levels of financial support to students

Figure C5.4.

Share of national tertiary education students enrolled full-time and receiving public financial support (2009/10 and 2019/20)

The authority to set tuition fees varies across countries

Authority to set tuition fees for national students, by level of tertiary education (2020)

- The level of tuition fees is established by a public authority
- The level of tuition fees is set forth in legislation
- Institutions may set the level of tuition fees, subject to government-imposed limits
- Institutions may set the level of tuition fees independently, without government-imposed limits

Number of countries and other participants

EDUCATION & SKILLS

Future trends

Emerging skill demands

Demand for skills is changing

Technological change and demographics reinforce the case for higher skills levels

AI, digitalisation and automation

Many routine and medium-skilled occupations disappear or evolve

The green transition will impact certain sectors more than others

Projected changes in sectoral composition of employment and output following a policy-driven transition towards a more resource-efficient and circular economy (2040 baseline projection relative to 2017 values)

The new nature of the firm

- Digital "platform" technology drives the (re)organisation of firms
- Small units of employment with global reach require re-think of what "small" means (employment or revenue to market share)
- Peer-to-peer markets are blurring the distinction between a consumer and a business
- Governments work with platforms to implement policies

Concentrating

Homogenizing

Disempowering

The kinds of things that are easy to teach...

... have now become easy to digitise and automate

Many jobs are digitally-intensive

Employment in digital-intensive sectors as a share of total employment (2016)

High digital-intensive industries

Source:OECD Going Digital Toolkit, based on European Labour Force Surveys, national labour force surveys and other national sources.

With many human tasks now automated with AI

Distribution of types of tasks

Distribution of types of tasks with new Al capabilities

Al versus humans – benchmarks

Al versus humans – OECD Survey of Adult Skills (PIAAC)

Source: OECD calculations based on data from the "AI and the Future of Skills" survey

Skills to manage complex digital information

ICT use and Non-routine intensity enhance forms of learning

Expected effect of increase from 50th to 75th pctile of digital exposure on probability of learning at least once a week

Source: Survey of Adult Skills (2012, 2015)

EDUCATION & SKILLS

Future trends

Giving learners greater ownership over what they learn, how they learn, where they learn and when they learn

Digitalisation offers opportunities and carries risks

Online and hybrid provision will complement, but not replace campus-based provision

What?

Compared to traditional degree programmes, micro-credentials are:

Smaller in volume (in study duration or load)

More targeted in terms of skills or study topics

More flexible in delivery

Why?

Different offerings have distinct, but often overlapping purposes

Key features:1) Active private sector involvement & 2) Online

Wide variation WITHIN and AMONG HE systems

What should micro-credentials do? - Desired characteristics -

Targeted [breadth]	Rapid [duration]	Flexible [sequencing or timing]	Stackable [within institution]
Learning outcomes assessed [using sectoral or national assessment framework]	External assurance of programme or provider	Portable [applicable to study programmes in other HEIs]	Study load expressed in credits
Located with National Qualifications Framework	Employer role in credential design/approval	Wage and occupation reporting	Self-sovereign digital identity [recipient ownership, vendor independence]

Evidence on learner profile is limited but micro-credential leaners tend to:

The promises, risks, and policy challenges of micro-credentials

Promises	Risks	Policy Challenges
MCs can create new pathways to degree completion by permitting the accumulation of recognised learning in small and portable increments	Widespread recognition of MCs by academic institutions is not yet well- established, making MCs that may be neither stackable nor portable	What should be done to assure the quality and recognition of MCs?
MCs can increase the flexibility of education and training provision and widen access to non-traditional learners	MCs could deepen inequalities in access to higher education and lifelong learning if MCs are available only on a fee basis or with employer funding	Should there be public funding? If so, what share of the cost should be borne by public, how should funding be provided, for which persons, and which MCs?
MCs can swiftly and efficiently reduce the mismatch between skills supply and demand	Learners may have poor information about the MC offer, and MCs may not be well-understood or trusted by employers	How do we provide information to support good learners' choices, and how do we promote understanding and trust among employers?

EDUCATION & SKILLS

Future trends

Making lifelong learning a reality for all

- How is the additional funding shared between Governments, employers and beneficiaries?
- What are the incentives?
- Who sets the standards?
- How are the levels of skills recognised?
- Who trains the trainers?

- The digital transformation expands and diversifies education, training and learning opportunities.
- The certification of skills becomes increasingly important: employers need clear signals on workers' skills.
- Firms are increasingly testing skills on their own while relying less on diplomas. How to certify skills and who should be in charge?
- Preferred option: Independent regulated systems for skills certification?

- Unemployed: Government. Funding for unemployment benefits, used for training?
- People at high risk of losing their jobs: firms or Government?
- People who want to change jobs
- Gig economy

- New forms of work: fewer taxes raised
- Ageing societies: higher expenditure in health and pensions
- Decentralised information: less control
- Link between education and jobs weakened: the role of Governments risks been diminished
- Need to predict rapid changes in skills demands and respond to them

Implications for education and training

Increased demand for skills means education systems have to respond

Education and training systems need to deliver:

- Higher skills levels for more people in initial education and training
- Opportunities to upskill and reskill throughout life

Provide more flexible and resilient education

Increase use of technology in education

Focus more on future-proof sectors and occupations

Enhance broader range of cognitive, social and emotional skills

Some questions for UK nations

Towards a more flexible, integrated tertiary education system?

- 1. Do you develop the **right bundles of skills** in the different parts of your postsecondary education systems?
- 2. Do you have the **right formats and pathways** in place? Are more flexible, short, stackable programmes needed?
- 3. What role do digital **provision and alternative providers** have to play?
- 4. How can **incentive structures and financial supports** be adapted to promote greater take up of upskilling and reskilling among adults?
- 5. How do **core institutional funding systems** need to adapt to support more flexible, integrated tertiary education?

EDUCATION & SKILLS

Thank you

https://www.oecd.org/education/higher-education-policy/

